



# Kinetic energy control in explicit Finite Volume discretizations of the incompressible and compressible Navier-Stokes equations

T Gallouët, R Herbin, J.-C Latché

## ► To cite this version:

T Gallouët, R Herbin, J.-C Latché. Kinetic energy control in explicit Finite Volume discretizations of the incompressible and compressible Navier-Stokes equations. International Journal on Finite Volumes, 2010, 7 (2), pp.1-6. hal-01112391

**HAL Id: hal-01112391**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01112391>**

Submitted on 2 Feb 2015

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Kinetic energy control in explicit Finite Volume discretizations of the incompressible and compressible Navier-Stokes equations

T. Gallouët<sup>†</sup>, R. Herbin<sup>†</sup>, J.-C. Latché<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>†</sup> *Université de Provence*

<sup>\*</sup> *Institut de Radioprotection et de Sécurité Nucléaire (IRSN)*

[gallouet, herbin]@cmi.univ-mrs.fr, jean-claude.latche@irsn.fr

## Abstract

---

We prove that, under a **cfl** condition, the explicit upwind finite volume discretization of the convection operator  $\mathcal{C}(u) = \partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{div}(u\mathbf{q})$ , with a given density  $\rho$  and momentum  $\mathbf{q}$ , satisfies a discrete kinetic energy decrease property, provided that the convection operator satisfies a "consistency-with-the-mass-balance property", which can be simply stated by saying that it vanishes for a constant advected field  $u$ .

**Key words** : Compressible Navier-Stokes equations, Finite Volume discretizations, Stability, Kinetic Energy.

---

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\rho$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  be a scalar and a vector smooth function respectively, defined over a domain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d = 2$  or  $d = 3$ , and such that the following identity holds in  $\Omega$ :

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{q} = 0. \quad (1)$$

Let  $u$  be a smooth scalar function defined over  $\Omega$ . If  $\mathbf{q}$  vanishes on the boundary, the following stability identity is known to hold:

$$\int_{\Omega} [\partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{div}(u\mathbf{q})] u \, d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \rho u^2 \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (2)$$

When  $\rho$  stands for the density and  $\mathbf{q}$  for the momentum, equation (1) is the usual mass balance in variable density flows. Choosing for  $u$  a component of the velocity, equation (2) yields the central argument of the kinetic energy conservation theorem.

A discrete analogue of this result has been proven in [2] for an implicit discretization of the convection operator for  $u$ , *i.e.*  $\mathcal{C}(u) = \partial_t(\rho u) + \text{div}(u\mathbf{q})$ , and is a central argument of the stability of schemes for low Mach number flows [1], barotropic monophasic [2] or diphasic [3] compressible flows. The aim of the present short note is to prove that the same stability result holds for an explicit upwind discretization of  $\mathcal{C}(u)$ , under a **cff** condition. This result yields the (conditional) stability of the semi-implicit version (*i.e.* with an explicit convection term in the momentum balance, the other terms (especially the diffusion term, discretized in an implicit way) remaining unchanged) of the discretizations studied in [1, 2, 3].

For the sake of readability, we establish this stability result in two steps: in Section 2, we address the case of a constant density flows, then we extend the proof to compressible flows in Section 3.

## 2 The incompressible case

Let  $\Omega$  be split in control volumes  $\bar{\Omega} = \cup_{K \in \mathcal{M}} \bar{K}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}$  the set of internal faces of the mesh, and by  $\sigma = K|L$  the internal face separating control volumes  $K$  and  $L$  of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

In this section, we suppose that the density is constant, and, setting arbitrarily  $\rho = 1$ , the discrete finite volume convection operator which we study reads:

$$\forall K \in \mathcal{M}, \quad |K| C_K = \frac{|K|}{\delta t} (u_K - u_K^*) + \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} u_\sigma^*, \quad (3)$$

where  $F_{K,\sigma}$  stands for the discrete mass flux coming out from  $K$  through  $\sigma$ , and  $u_\sigma^*$  denotes the upwind (with respect to  $F_{K,\sigma}$ ) approximation of  $u$  on  $\sigma$ , *i.e.*  $u_\sigma^* = u_K^*$  if  $F_{K,\sigma} \geq 0$  and  $u_\sigma^* = u_L^*$  otherwise. We suppose that the scheme is conservative, *i.e.* that, for an internal face  $\sigma = K|L$ ,  $F_{K,\sigma} = -F_{L,\sigma}$ . Note that the fluxes through the external faces are implicitly set to zero (which is consistent with a velocity prescribed to zero at the boundary). The incompressibility of the flow reads, at the discrete level:

$$\forall K \in \mathcal{M}, \quad \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Let us define the local **cff** number associated to the mesh  $K$  by:

$$\mathbf{cff}_K = \frac{\delta t}{|K|} \sum_{\sigma=K|L} \max(F_{K,\sigma}, 0) = \frac{\delta t}{|K|} \sum_{\sigma=K|L} -\min(F_{K,\sigma}, 0), \quad (5)$$

and the global **cff** number by:

$$\mathbf{cff} = \max_{K \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbf{cff}_K. \quad (6)$$

The stability of the convection operator defined by (3) is stated in the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1 Let  $\mathbf{cfl}$  be defined by (5)-(6). For  $K \in \mathcal{M}$ , let  $C_K$  be defined by (3). If  $\mathbf{cfl} \leq 1$ , then:

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} |K| u_K C_K \geq \frac{1}{2\delta t} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} |K| [(u_K)^2 - (u_K^*)^2].$$

**Proof** – We have  $\sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} |K| u_K C_K = T_1 + T_2$  with:

$$T_1 = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{|K|}{\delta t} (u_K - u_K^*) u_K, \quad T_2 = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} u_K \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} u_\sigma^*.$$

Using the identity  $2a(a-b) = a^2 + (a-b)^2 - b^2$ , valid for any real numbers  $a$  and  $b$ , we get for  $T_1$ :

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{2\delta t} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} |K| [(u_K)^2 - (u_K^*)^2] + \frac{1}{2\delta t} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} |K| (u_K - u_K^*)^2$$

We now turn to  $T_2$ , which is split into  $T_2 = T_{2,1} + T_{2,2}$  as follows:

$$T_{2,1} = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} u_K^* \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} u_\sigma^*, \quad T_{2,2} = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} (u_K - u_K^*) \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} u_\sigma^*.$$

We now notice that, by definition of the upstream value  $-u_\sigma^*$ , we have:

$$F_{K,\sigma} u_\sigma^* = |F_{K,\sigma}| \frac{u_K^* - u_L^*}{2} + F_{K,\sigma} \frac{u_K^* + u_L^*}{2}$$

so  $T_{2,1}$  reads:

$$T_{2,1} = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} u_K^* \sum_{\sigma=K|L} |F_{K,\sigma}| \frac{u_K^* - u_L^*}{2} + \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} u_K^* \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} \frac{u_K^* + u_L^*}{2}. \quad (7)$$

First the incompressibility relation (4) then the conservativity yield for the second term:

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} u_K^* \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} \frac{u_K^* + u_L^*}{2} = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} u_K^* \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} \frac{u_L^*}{2} = 0.$$

Reordering now the first summation in (7), we get:

$$T_{2,1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}, \sigma=K|L} |F_{K,\sigma}| (u_K^* - u_L^*)^2.$$

Using once again Equation (4) to subtract  $F_{K,\sigma} u_K^*$  to all the fluxes at the faces of the mesh  $K$ , we have for  $T_{2,2}$ :

$$T_{2,2} = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} (u_K - u_K^*) \sum_{\sigma=K|L, F_{K,\sigma} \leq 0} F_{K,\sigma} (u_L^* - u_K^*),$$

where the notation  $\sum_{\sigma=K|L, F_{K,\sigma} \leq 0}$  means that the sum is restricted to the faces where the quantity  $F_{K,\sigma}$  is non-positive. Reordering the summations, we get:

$$T_{2,2} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}, \sigma=K|L, F_{K,\sigma} \leq 0} F_{K,\sigma} (u_K - u_K^*) (u_L^* - u_K^*).$$

where the above notation means that we perform the sum over each internal face  $\sigma$ , and we denote  $L$  the upwind control volume and  $K$  the downwind one. Using now the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young inequalities, we obtain:

$$T_{2,2} \geq -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}, \sigma=K|L} |F_{K,\sigma}| (u_L^* - u_K^*)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}, \sigma=K|L, F_{K,\sigma} \leq 0} |F_{K,\sigma}| (u_K - u_K^*)^2.$$

The last summation reads:

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}, \sigma=K|L, F_{K,\sigma} \leq 0} |F_{K,\sigma}| (u_K - u_K^*)^2 = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} (u_K - u_K^*)^2 \sum_{\sigma=K|L} -\min(F_{K,\sigma}, 0).$$

Gathering the final expressions for  $T_1$ ,  $T_{2,1}$  and  $T_{2,2}$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} |K| u_K C_K &\geq \frac{1}{2\delta t} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} |K| [(u_K)^2 - (u_K^*)^2] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} (u_K - u_K^*)^2 \left[ \frac{|K|}{\delta t} - \sum_{\sigma=K|L} -\min(F_{K,\sigma}, 0) \right], \end{aligned}$$

which yields the conclusion.  $\square$

### 3 The compressible case

We now suppose that the flow is compressible, or, more precisely, that the density varies with time and space and that the velocity and the density are linked by the usual mass balance; the discrete mass balance now reads:

$$\forall K \in \mathcal{M}, \quad \frac{|K|}{\delta t} (\varrho_K - \varrho_K^*) + \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} = 0, \quad (8)$$

and we study the following convection operator:

$$\forall K \in \mathcal{M}, \quad |K| C_K = \frac{|K|}{\delta t} (\varrho_K u_K - \varrho_K^* u_K^*) + \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} u_\sigma^*, \quad (9)$$

with the same definition for  $u_\sigma^*$  as in the previous section.

Let us define the local **cf** number associated to the mesh  $K$  by:

$$\mathbf{cf}_K = \frac{\delta t}{|K| \varrho_K} \sum_{\sigma=K|L} \max(F_{K,\sigma}, 0) = \frac{\delta t}{|K| \varrho_K} \sum_{\sigma=K|L} -\min(F_{K,\sigma}, 0), \quad (10)$$

the definition (6) of the global **cf** number remaining unchanged.

The stability of the convection operator defined by (9) is stated in the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1 Let  $\mathbf{cfl}$  be defined by (10)-(6). For  $K \in \mathcal{M}$ , let  $C_K$  be defined by (9). If  $\mathbf{cfl} \leq 1$ , then:

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{|K|}{\delta t} u_K C_K \geq \frac{1}{2\delta t} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} |K| [\varrho_K (u_K)^2 - \varrho_K^* (u_K^*)^2].$$

**Proof** – We write  $\sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} |K| u_K C_K = T_1 + T_2$  with:

$$T_1 = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{|K|}{\delta t} (\varrho_K u_K - \varrho_K^* u_K^*) u_K, \quad T_2 = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} u_K \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} u_\sigma^*.$$

In  $T_1$ , let us first split  $(\varrho_K u_K - \varrho_K^* u_K^*) u_K = \varrho_K (u_K - u_K^*) u_K + (\varrho_K - \varrho_K^*) u_K^* u_K$  and then use the identity  $2a(a-b) = a^2 + (a-b)^2 - b^2$ , valid for any real number  $a$  and  $b$ , to get:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \frac{1}{2\delta t} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} |K| \varrho_K [(u_K)^2 - (u_K^*)^2] + \frac{1}{2\delta t} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} |K| \varrho_K (u_K - u_K^*)^2 \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} |K| (\varrho_K - \varrho_K^*) u_K^* u_K}_{T_{1,1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We now write  $T_2 = T_{2,1} + T_{2,2}$  with:

$$T_{2,1} = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} u_K^* u_K \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma}, \quad T_{2,2} = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} u_K \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} (u_\sigma^* - u_K^*).$$

By (8), the term  $T_{2,1}$  is the opposite of  $T_{1,1}$ . The term  $T_{2,2}$  is once again split as:

$$T_{2,2} = \underbrace{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} u_K^* \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} (u_\sigma^* - u_K^*)}_{T_{2,3}} + \underbrace{\sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} (u_K - u_K^*) \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} (u_\sigma^* - u_K^*)}_{T_{2,4}}.$$

Using once again the identity  $2a(a-b) = a^2 + (a-b)^2 - b^2$ , we get for the first sum:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{2,3} &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} (u_\sigma^* - u_K^*) u_K^* \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} [(u_\sigma^*)^2 - (u_\sigma^* - u_K^*)^2 - (u_K^*)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

and so:

$$T_{2,3} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} (u_K^*)^2 \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_{\sigma=K|L} F_{K,\sigma} [(u_\sigma^*)^2 - (u_\sigma^* - u_K^*)^2].$$

Using (8) for the first sum and reordering the summations in the second one, using the conservativity, we get:

$$T_{2,3} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{|K|}{\delta t} (\varrho_K - \varrho_K^*) (u_K^*)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{int}}, \sigma=K|L} |F_{K,\sigma}| (u_K^* - u_L^*)^2.$$

We now remark that the first of these terms combines with the first term of  $T_1$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\delta t} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} |K| \left[ \varrho_K [(u_K)^2 - (u_K^*)^2] + (\varrho_K - \varrho_K^*) (u_K^*)^2 \right] = \\ \frac{1}{2\delta t} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{M}} |K| [\varrho_K (u_K)^2 - \varrho_K^* (u_K^*)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Gathering all terms, we conclude the proof by controlling the term  $T_{2,4}$ , which is the same as the term  $T_{2,2}$  of the incompressible cas, and can be absorbed by the same terms.  $\square$

## References

- [1] G. Ansanay-Alex, F. Babik, J.-C. Latché, and D. Vola. An L2-stable approximation of the Navier-Stokes convection operator for low-order non-conforming finite elements. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*, page available online, 2010.
- [2] T. Gallouët, L. Gastaldo, R. Herbin, and J.-C. Latché. An unconditionally stable pressure correction scheme for compressible barotropic Navier-Stokes equations. *Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis*, 42:303–331, 2008.
- [3] L. Gastaldo, R. Herbin, and J.-C. Latché. An unconditionally stable finite element-finite volume pressure correction scheme for the drift-flux model. *Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis*, 44:251–287, 2010.